

LeaD in Practice

A blended-learning project on Learning Design in Practice

MODEL EVALUATION AFTER THE THIRD PHASE (MODULE 3/3) OF THE TRAINING AND FOR THE WHOLE EXPERIENCE *

I. ACHIEVEMENT OF THE 3RD MODULE | OBJECTIVES AND LEARNER NEEDS

A) To which degree do you feel the following objectives of the **course III** were achieved?
(1 = Not at all achieved to.....6 = Extremely well achieved)

Objectives Phase III	1	2	3	4	5	6
X						
Y						
Z						

B) To which degree do you feel the following objectives of the whole project were achieved?
(1 = Not at all achieved to.....6 = Extremely well achieved)

Objectives of the whole project	1	2	3	4	5	6
X						
Y						
Z						

C) Based on your answers above, do you think something was missing from the whole project in order to achieve the objectives of the whole project? Please elaborate.

D) Overall, how satisfied are you with the way the course as a whole answered your learning needs? Please elaborate

E) Do you feel that your feedback was taken into account after the Evaluation of Module II?

II. THE STRUCTURE OF MODULE III

A) Please rate the effectiveness of the following: (1 = Not at all effective to.....6 = Extremely well effective)

	1	2	3	4	5	6
Time management, daily program duration, breaks						

Flow of the program, connection between the topics, making sense as a whole						
Quality of the content, relevance of the topics						

B) Do you feel that your knowledge & practice on the X issue is better after this module or not? Please elaborate on your answer.

C) Which *key words/thoughts/sentences/ideas* that were shared in and outside of plenary do you keep with you?

III. METHODS AND MATERIALS USED IN MODULE III

A) Overall, how satisfied are you with the experiential learning methods used in the course in general? (From 1 = Not at all satisfied to..... 6 = Extremely well satisfied): _____

B) Which were the most remarkable/relevant methods for you from Module III? What did you not like in the methods because it didn't work and how would you change it?

C) Please rate your satisfaction with the written & online materials that were handed out during the entire project (if any) (From 1 = Not at all satisfied to 6= Extremely well satisfied)

IV. THE GROUP DYNAMICS

A) Which factors influenced the degree of bonding, of interactivity, of participation or of tension in the group?

B) What kinds of contributions did you, as a trainee, make to the group?

V. FEEDBACK FOR THE TRAINER(S)

A) Please rate the effectiveness of the main project trainer(s): (1 = Not at all effective to.....6 = Extremely well effective)

	1	2	3	4	5	6
Knowledge about the topics of the course & able to transmit it						
Management of the group						
Connection with the group						

B) What did you like most and least about him/her/them in the way the trainer(s) led & transmitted knowledge?

VI. EFFECT OF THE PROJECT

Impact vs Outcome.

While the outcome evaluation tells us what kind of change has occurred, an impact evaluation paints a picture as to how a program might have affected participants' lives on a broader scale.

- A) What is the impact of the project in general on you?
- B) Do you believe that you are you going to follow with the issues (ideas) raised in this training? Why?
- C) Were your expectations met overall?
- D) Any other comments/thoughts/feelings/concerns you would like to share.

VII. PRACTICAL ORGANIZATION OF THE WHOLE PROJECT (for all 3 modules) *(if different venues were used than the ones already evaluated)*

	1	2	3	4	5	6
The information provided before arrival						
Accommodation						
The meals						
The training venue						
Social & Cultural program						

If you want, you can explain your responses from above here.....

Last question: This questionnaire is anonymous. However, if you would like us to know your name or you would like us to get back to you about an issue you raised, please write your name here:

**Developed by: Athanasia Bartzoka, Karmen Semrl, Andreja Podpecan, Lilit Gizhlaryan, Cristina Sobrino Verde*



The LeaD in Practice Project was funded by the European Commission